



Središnja medicinska knjižnica

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Lucijanić M., Prka Ž., Jakšić O., Mitrović Z., Vrkljan A., Pejša V. (2019)
Bendamustine-based conditioning prior to autologous stem cell transplantation is associated with high rate of febrile neutropenia and higher mortality. American Journal of Hematology, 94 (2). pp. E42-E43.
ISSN 0361-8609

which has been published in final form at <http://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.25340>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with [Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving](#).

[http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/journal/10.1002/\(ISSN\)1096-8652](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/journal/10.1002/(ISSN)1096-8652)

<http://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.25340>

<http://medlib.mef.hr/3691>

University of Zagreb Medical School Repository

<http://medlib.mef.hr/>

Title: Bendamustine-based conditioning prior to autologous stem cell transplantation is associated with high rate of febrile neutropenia and higher mortality

Authors: Marko Lucijanic¹, Zeljko Prka¹, Ozren Jaksic^{1,2}, Zdravko Mitrovic^{1,2}, Anamarija Vrkljan¹, Vlatko Pejisa^{1,2}

Affiliations:

¹Hematology Department, University Hospital Dubrava, Av. Gojka Suska 6, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia.

²School of Medicine, University of Zagreb, Salata 3, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia.

Corresponding author: Vlatko Pejisa, MD, PhD, Professor, Department of Hematology, Chair, University hospital Dubrava, Av. Gojka Suska 6, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia. mail: vpejsa@kbd.hr

Funding: none

Conflict of interest: authors declare they have no conflict of interest

Dear Editor,

We have read the paper by Chantepie et al.¹ evaluating safety of bendamustine-based conditioning prior to autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT) with great interest. Authors reported concerning high frequency of acute renal failure (27.9%) and high rates of other toxicities observed with BeEAM (bendamustine, etoposide, cytarabine, melphalan) conditioning regimen. Shortage of carmustine led to replacement of standard BEAM (carmustine, etoposide, cytarabine, melphalan) with BeEAM conditioning regimen in our institution as well. High frequency of febrile neutropenia and increased mortality that we observed prompted us to further compare toxicities and outcomes between these two regimens which we report here.

We retrospectively analysed a cohort of 60 ASCT patients 18 years or older with histologically confirmed diagnosis of Non-Hodgkin or Hodgkin lymphoma that received either BEAM (43 patients) or BeEAM myeloablative regimen (17 patients) as a part of the ASCT procedure in the period from 2008 to 2018. Bendamustine doses of 200mg/m²/day were used. Acute kidney injury was defined according to KDIGO guidelines as in the paper by Chantepie et al.¹ Numerical variables were expressed as median and interquartile range (IQR) and were compared between two groups using the Mann-Whitney-U test. Categorical variables were expressed as percentages and were compared between two groups using the Fisher test or X² test where appropriate. Survival analysis was based on the Kaplan-Meier method, the Cox-Mantel version of the log-rank test was used to compare survival between two groups. P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Analyses were done using the MedCalc statistical program ver. 18.10 (MedCalc Software bvba, Ostend, Belgium).

Median age was 51.5 years, a majority of patients had diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (53%). Patients' characteristics and outcomes are shown in the Table. BEAM and BeEAM groups did not differ regarding age, gender, diagnosis, baseline estimated creatinine clearance, duration of hospitalization, time to neutrophil or platelet recovery (P>0.05 for all comparisons) showing that two regimens were comparable in terms of baseline and engraftment characteristics. However, patients receiving bendamustine were significantly more likely to develop acute kidney injury (23.5% vs 0% for BeEAM vs BEAM, respectively; P=0.005), virtually all experienced febrile neutropenia (100% vs 74% for BeEAM vs BEAM, respectively; P=0.025) and were more likely to require intensive-care-unit (ICU) management (23.5% vs 0% for BeEAM vs BEAM, respectively; P=0.005). Most worrisome, patients receiving bendamustine experienced significantly higher 100-day mortality (17.6% vs 0% for BeEAM vs BEAM, respectively; P=0.004) as shown in the Figure. All three deaths observed in the bendamustine group were due to septic complications.

It should be noted that high rates of ICU admission (24%) and renal toxicity (17%) were observed with BeEAM by other author groups as well.² Although limited by small numbers, retrospective design and single center experience, our findings complement previously reported results by Chantepie and others, and raise serious concerns about safety of bendamustine-based conditioning prior to ASCT. We resorted to alternative conditioning regimen based on lomustine (LEAM – lomustine, etoposide, cytarabine, melphalan) until additional evidence regarding efficacy/safety of currently available regimens, or new treatment options emerge.

References:

1. Chantepie SP, Garciaz S, Tchernonog E, et al. Bendamustine-based conditioning prior to autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT): Results of a French multicenter study of 474 patients from Lymphoma Study Association (LYSA) centers. *American journal of hematology*. 2018;93(6):729-735.
2. Garciaz S, Coso D, Schiano de Collella JM, et al. Bendamustine-based conditioning for non-Hodgkin lymphoma autologous transplantation: an increasing risk of renal toxicity. *Bone marrow transplantation*. 2016;51(2):319-321.

Table 1: Patient's characteristics and outcomes in patients receiving BEAM and BeEAM myeloablative regimens prior to autologous stem cell transplantation.

	BEAM	BeEAM	P value
Total number	43	17	-
Age /years	52 IQR (38.5 - 59)	50 IQR (39 - 56)	0.825
<i>Gender</i>			
Male gender	24/43 (55.8%)	8/17 (47.1%)	0.540
Female gender	19/43 (44.2%)	9/17 (52.9%)	
<i>Diagnosis</i>			
DLBCL	20/43 (45.2%)	10/17 (56.3%)	0.811
Mantle cell	4/43 (9.5%)	2/17 (12.5%)	
Burkitt	1/43 (2.4%)	0/17 (0%)	
T-NHL	4/43 (9.5%)	2/17 (12.5%)	
Indolent B-NHL	8/43 (19%)	1/17 (6.3%)	
Hodgkin lymphoma	6/43 (14.3%)	2/17 (12.5%)	
MDRD estimated creatinine clearance /mlmin ⁻¹ .73m ⁻²	88 IQR (75.5 - 100.5)	108 IQR (80 - 122)	0.212
Duration of hospitalization /days	25 IQR (21 - 28.5)	25 IQR (23 - 32)	0.320
Neutrophil recovery /days	10 IQR (9 - 11)	10 IQR (9 - 10)	0.503
Platelets recovery /days	12 IQR (10.5 - 14)	12.5 IQR (11.3 - 16.5)	0.294
Acute kidney injury	0/43 (0%)	4/17 (23.5%)	0.005*
Febrile neutropenia	32/43 (74.4%)	17/17 (100%)	0.025*
Isolated pathogen	27/43 (62.8%)	15/17 (88.2%)	0.053
Need for intensive care unit	0/43 (0%)	4/17 (23.5%)	0.005*
100-days mortality	0/43 (0%)	3/17 (17.6%)	0.004*

IQR – interquartile range; numerical variables were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test; categorical variables were compared using the Fisher test of X² test where appropriate; mortality was analysed using the Cox-Mantel version of the log-rank test.

Figure 1: 100-days mortality post autologous stem cell transplantation stratified by the myeloablative regimen (BEAM / BeEAM).

