



Središnja medicinska knjižnica

**Kuliš T., Knežević N., Pekez M., Kaštelan D., Grković M., Kaštelan Ž.
(2012) *Laparoscopic adrenalectomy: lessons learned from 306 cases.*
Journal of Laparoendoscopic & Advanced Surgical Techniques, 22 (1).
pp. 22-6. ISSN 1092-6429**

<http://www.liebertonline.com/loi/lap>

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/lap.2011.0376>

<http://medlib.mef.hr/1705>

University of Zagreb Medical School Repository

<http://medlib.mef.hr/>

Laparoscopic adrenalectomy: lessons learned from 306 cases

Running title: Laparoscopic adrenalectomy

Tomislav Kulis, M.D.¹, Nikola Knezevic, M.D.¹, Marijeta Pekez², Darko Kastelan, M.D., Ph.D.³,
Marija Grkovic, M.D.¹, Zeljko Kastelan, M.D., Ph.D.¹

¹ University of Zagreb, School of Medicine, University Hospital Center Zagreb, Department of Urology, Zagreb, Croatia

² University of Zagreb, School of Medicine, Zagreb, Croatia

³ University of Zagreb, School of Medicine, University Hospital Center Zagreb, Department of Endocrinology, Zagreb, Croatia

Corresponding author:

Tomislav Kulis

Department of urology

University hospital center Zagreb

Kispaticeva 12

10000 Zagreb

Croatia

Phone: +38512388504

Fax: +38512421855

email: tkulis@gmail.com

Abstract

Introduction: Laparoscopic adrenalectomy has become the standard of care for the surgical treatment of benign adrenal pathology. We present the following case series documenting our experience in refinement of this approach.

Methods: Analysis of patient records identified those in whom laparoscopic adrenalectomy was performed from January 1997 through February 2010. Study variables included indications, operative time, blood loss, length of hospital stay, pathohistological evaluation and complications.

Results: Laparoscopic adrenalectomy was performed in 306 patients using the transperitoneal lateral approach. No major operative complications were noted and postoperative complications included a pulmonary embolism and 2 cases of pneumonia. Conversion to the open approach was necessitated in two cases. The median operative time was 95 ± 29 min (range=45-145min). Estimated blood loss was 60mL (range=30-150mL). The mean size of the removed gland was 5.9 ± 1.6 cm (range=3-13 cm). The mean size of the tumor was 5 ± 2 cm (range=0.5-12 cm). The median hospitalization was 4 ± 3.7 days (range=2-22 days). Adrenal pathology included: adenoma n=164, pheochromocytoma n=79, hyperplasia n=35, metastatic carcinoma n=22, cyst n=9, myelolipoma n=9, hemangioma n=3, ganglioneuroma n=3 and melanoma n=2.

Conclusion: Laparoscopic adrenalectomy is a safe and feasible approach to adrenal pathology providing the patients with all the benefits of minimally invasive surgery.

Introduction

The birth of the laparoscopic method in 1987¹ and its application for adrenal pathology in 1992² paved the way for its installment as the 'gold standard' for benign masses a decade later³. Laparoscopic adrenalectomy (LA) is preferred for most of the functional as well as nonfunctional adrenal lesions requiring resection. The increased utilization of radiological methods such as CT has brought with it an increase in discovery of hormonally inactive adrenal tumors while examining for other conditions, incidentalomas, and with this another application for the procedure. Laparoscopy presents an ideal approach for adrenal pathology by virtue of the glands hard to access anatomic location, small size of most lesions, and low rate of malignancy⁴. The following case series adds to the ever-mounting evidence justifying laparoscopic adrenalectomy as a safe method with minimal complications.

Patients and Methods

We performed a retrospective analysis of health records for patients who were operated at University Hospital Center Zagreb, in Zagreb, Croatia from January 1997 through February 2010. In this period 306 consecutive patients underwent planned laparoscopic adrenalectomy (LA).

Diagnosis of adrenal tumors was based on CT scans and/or MRI. The indications for LA were according to Croatian guidelines⁵ adrenal incidentalomas larger than 4 cm in diameter, functional tumors (pheochromocytoma, aldosteronoma, Cushing's syndrome, sex hormone producing tumor) and solitary adrenal metastases. The diagnosis of Cushing's syndrome was based on characteristic clinical presentation and the results of hormonal criteria: increased urinary free cortisol, low ACTH and nonsuppressibility of cortisol secretion in the 1 mg overnight dexamethasone test. The diagnosis of aldosteronoma was based on

elevated plasma aldosterone/PRA ratio (≥ 30) and nonsuppressibility of aldosterone in the saline infusion test. Pheochromocytoma was diagnosed by biochemical evidence of catecholamine overproduction and ^{131}I -MIBG scintigraphy. Patients with pheochromocytoma were preoperatively prepared using α -receptor blockers. To patients with tachycardia, β -receptor blockers in addition to α -receptor blockers were added.

In all cases, we exclusively used the laparoscopic transperitoneal lateral approach. We have gathered the most experience using this approach, and it allows inspection of the abdominal cavity by providing a favorable transabdominal view and the help of gravity aids in further visualization of the adrenal gland. Briefly, the patient is placed with the ipsilateral side elevated 45-60° from the operating table, which is then slightly flexed below the patient's umbilicus. A Veress needle is generally used to establish pneumoperitoneum. For left adrenalectomies, we used 3-4 trocars and for the right adrenalectomies 4 trocars. In case of bilateral adrenalectomy, we first performed right sided LA followed by repositioning of the patient and proceeding to left sided LA.

The splenic flexure, descending colon, spleen, and tail of the pancreas were mobilized on the left side. On the right side, the right lobe of the liver was mobilized to expose the inferior vena cava, and the triangular ligament was divided before placement of a liver retractor. The dissection was performed by use of bipolar cautery and ultrasonic dissector to divide the tissue sharply. In all cases except pheochromocytoma, we dissect adrenal gland, together with surrounding fat tissue, firstly laterally, superiorly, and then posteriorly, thereby lifting the gland off the muscle and clearly exposing the vein as it drains into either the renal vein on the left or the inferior vena cava on the right side. The vein is double clipped and divided after the gland is almost completely dissected. This approach minimizes bleeding from adrenal gland that can occur if we firstly clip vein.

All tumors were removed using laparoscopic retrieval bags (Memo Bag 200ml or 800ml, Rüschi, Teleflex Medical, USA). Depending on the size of the tumor we extended one incision, usually at the place of camera. All patients had a drain until drainage was less than 100ml with removal on the second postoperative day in most cases.

All patients were assessed for the following variables: preoperative diagnosis, histopathology, operative time, blood loss, complications, conversion to open surgery and hospital stay.

Results

During the study period 306 patients underwent LA with 326 adrenal glands removed. The demographics of the patients are shown in Table 1. In this series we operated 146 left, 125 right and 20 bilateral LAs. Additionally, in 6 patients we performed partial LA, including one patient who presented with bilateral metastasis of renal cell carcinoma and only partial adrenalectomy was performed on the left side. Nine patients had fenestration of a cyst of the adrenal gland.

The median operative time was 95 ± 29 min (range=45-145 min). Estimated blood loss was 60mL (range=30-150mL) and did not necessitate transfusion for any patient. The mean size of the removed gland was 5.9 ± 1.6 cm (range=3-13 cm). The mean size of the tumor was 5 ± 2 cm (range=0.5-12 cm) (Table2).

The number of complications was minimal and included one pulmonary embolus (Clavien IIIa) and two cases of pneumonia (Clavien II)⁶. In one patient nine years after LA for pathohistologically confirmed benign pheochromocytoma recurrence occurred. There were no deaths and no injury to surrounding organs. There were two conversions, which included the 11th and 47th patient. Both conversions were due extensive intra-abdominal adhesions. Twenty patients presented with metastasis to the adrenal glands, including two patients in

which bilateral adrenalectomy was performed due to metachronous metastasis of renal cell carcinoma.

The median hospitalization was 4 ± 3.4 (range=2-22) days. Patients who had a bilateral adrenalectomy had a longer hospital stay (median 6 ± 4.5 (range=2-22) days) than those who had a unilateral operation (median 4 ± 3.3 (range=2-21) days); $p=0.004$.

Results of the pathohistological evaluation are listed in the Table 3.

Discussion

Laparoscopic adrenalectomy has replaced the open surgical approach over the last decade. This is corroborated on the number of articles, which have proven it a safe and effective procedure with minimal complications^{7,8}.

These lower complication rates include less operative blood loss and thus less need for transfusion during the procedure. Pleasing outcomes also include less postoperative pain, earlier return to activity and diet, and better cosmetic results. All these benefits compound to produce a shorter hospital stay and lower overall costs^{8,9}.

Indications for operations in this study were similar to those in published series, in which most of the operations were performed for aldosteronomas, glucocorticoid excess, pheochromocytomas, and incidentalomas^{10,11}.

With overwhelming evidence solidifying LA as the procedure of choice for benign adrenal lesions and masses smaller than 6cm, the focus of discussion in recent times has shifted towards its application in larger tumors^{12,13} and those more likely to be malignant^{14,15}. We have operated 62 patients with tumors larger than 6 cm without conversion (Figure 1). From this, we conclude that LA is an acceptable method for the removal of such tumors though an increased level of caution has to be practiced. Radiological characteristics, relation to surrounding structures, and the margins of the tumor process all have to be

carefully evaluated in order to determine malignancy potential and if high the classic open approach is still recommended¹⁶. Consideration has to be paid to the possibility of port site metastasis when approaching tumors of this size. If infiltration is present then we prefer the open approach since it allows greater removal of infiltrated tissue.

A challenge that the surgeon often faces is that of patients with previous abdominal surgery and the aftermath of adhesions that may be present. This becomes a true test of skill since adhesions make access to the lesion more treacherous. More than 30% of our patients have had previous abdominal surgery. We did not have to convert to open surgery in any of these cases. Even after Chevron incisions, LA is feasible in experienced surgeon hands (Figure 2). It requires meticulous dissection, open placement of the first trocar and mobilization of adhesions. Due to the unpredictable state that may be encountered in the intraabdominal cavity, trocar placement may have to be adjusted accordingly. After these additional initial steps, and mobilization of adhesions, the procedure is handled in the same way.

In certain patients, such as those presenting with bilateral pheochromocytoma or Cushing's disease, a bilateral adrenalectomy may have to be performed¹⁷. We have completed 20 such procedures using the laparoscopic approach and can conclude that all the benefits of the method are retained. The only setback is in terms of time spent shifting the patient after removal of one gland and proceeding to the other.

Laparoscopic adrenalectomy in morbidly obese patients may be technically demanding, and these patients are at increased risk for complications as well as higher chance for open conversion¹⁸. In our experience, we find that except for the extra efforts that are required on the part of the surgical team, the patient's health is not more compromised than with open surgery (Figure 3). On the contrary, patients benefit all the advantages of the minimally invasive surgery. It has been demonstrated that when

compared to open adrenalectomy, laparoscopy in the morbidly obese, offers significantly decreased blood loss, quicker return of bowel function, less analgesic requirement, shorter convalescence, and reduced hospital stay¹⁹. Also, in patients with Cushing syndrome, who have delayed wound healing, we did not observe complications regarding wound healing and infection. We do have to mention that the one and only patient who had a pulmonary embolus had a BMI of 30 kg/m² and thus precautions should be taken in these higher risk patients.

In the case of pheochromocytoma we clip and control the adrenal vein prior to any manipulation of the gland. Though some authors reported this as unnecessary²⁰ we found our patients to have better cardiac rhythm and blood pressure. This logic is based on the attempt to avoid any catecholamine release related to gland manipulation. Specifically, for pheochromocytoma the medical team has to avoid unabated catecholamine release into the systemic circulation which could lead to devastating consequences through the activation of the sympathetic nervous system^{21, 22}.

A case of note that we were presented with involved a male patient with recurrence of pheochromocytoma nine years after initial right sided LA for benign pheochromocytoma. The patient presented with characteristic clinical symptoms and after CT and MIBG scintigraphy a right sided pheochromocytoma was again suspected. We performed a laparoscopic exploration which revealed a multinodular infiltrating tumor in the adrenal area. Biopsy material was obtained and after evaluation concluded malignant pheochromocytoma. After this, an open adrenalectomy was performed as well as ipsilateral nephrectomy and a partial resection of the vena cava in order to remove infiltrating tumor radically.

To conclude, laparoscopic adrenalectomy is a method of choice for treatment of benign adrenal pathology. It can be safely performed for bilateral pathology as well as in morbidly obese patients or patients after previous abdominal surgeries. When performed by skilled laparoscopic team it is an effective low morbidity procedure having all the benefits of minimally invasive surgery.

References:

1. Dubois F, Icard P, Berthelot G, Levard H. Coelioscopic cholecystectomy. Preliminary report of 36 cases. *Ann Surg* 1990;211:60-2.
2. Gagner M, Lacroix A, Bolte E. Laparoscopic adrenalectomy in Cushing's syndrome and pheochromocytoma. *N Engl J Med* 1992;327:1033.
3. NIH state-of-the-science statement on management of the clinically inapparent adrenal mass ("incidentaloma"). *NIH Consens State Sci Statements* 2002;19:1-25.
4. Jacobs JK, Goldstein RE, Geer RJ. Laparoscopic adrenalectomy. A new standard of care. *Ann Surg* 1997;225:495-501; discussion -2.
5. Kastelan D, Dusek T, Aganovic I, Stern-Padovan R, Kuzmanic D, Kastelan Z, et al. [Management of adrenal incidentaloma: the position statement of the Croatian referral center for adrenal gland disorders]. *Lijec Vjesn* 2010;132:71-5.
6. Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. *Ann Surg* 2004;240:205-13.
7. Brunt LM, Doherty GM, Norton JA, Soper NJ, Quasebarth MA, Moley JF. Laparoscopic adrenalectomy compared to open adrenalectomy for benign adrenal neoplasms. *J Am Coll Surg* 1996;183:1-10.
8. Thompson GB, Grant CS, van Heerden JA, Schlinkert RT, Young WF, Jr., Farley DR, et al. Laparoscopic versus open posterior adrenalectomy: a case-control study of 100 patients. *Surgery* 1997;122:1132-6.
9. Imai T, Kikumori T, Ohiwa M, Mase T, Funahashi H. A case-controlled study of laparoscopic compared with open lateral adrenalectomy. *Am J Surg* 1999;178:50-3; discussion 4.
10. Henry JF, Defechereux T, Raffaelli M, Lubrano D, Gramatica L. Complications of laparoscopic adrenalectomy: results of 169 consecutive procedures. *World J Surg* 2000;24:1342-6.
11. Pillinger SH, Bambach CP, Sidhu S. Laparoscopic adrenalectomy: a 6-year experience of 59 cases. *ANZ J Surg* 2002;72:467-70.
12. Boylu U, Oommen M, Lee BR, Thomas R. Laparoscopic adrenalectomy for large adrenal masses: pushing the envelope. *J Endourol* 2009;23:971-5.
13. Porpiglia F, Destefanis P, Fiori C, Giraud G, Garrone C, Scarpa RM, et al. Does adrenal mass size really affect safety and effectiveness of laparoscopic adrenalectomy? *Urology* 2002;60:801-5.
14. Cobb WS, Kercher KW, Sing RF, Heniford BT. Laparoscopic adrenalectomy for malignancy. *Am J Surg* 2005;189:405-11.
15. Sturgeon C, Kebebew E. Laparoscopic adrenalectomy for malignancy. *Surg Clin North Am* 2004;84:755-74.
16. Gagner M, Pomp A, Heniford BT, Pharand D, Lacroix A. Laparoscopic adrenalectomy: lessons learned from 100 consecutive procedures. *Ann Surg* 1997;226:238-46; discussion 46-7.
17. Castillo OA, Vitagliano G, Cortes O, Kerkebe M, Pinto I, Arellano L. Bilateral laparoscopic adrenalectomy. *J Endourol* 2007;21:1053-8.
18. Shen ZJ, Chen SW, Wang S, Jin XD, Chen J, Zhu Y, et al. Predictive factors for open conversion of laparoscopic adrenalectomy: a 13-year review of 456 cases. *J Endourol* 2007;21:1333-7.
19. Fazeli-Matin S, Gill IS, Hsu TH, Sung GT, Novick AC. Laparoscopic renal and adrenal surgery in obese patients: comparison to open surgery. *J Urol* 1999;162:665-9.
20. Vassiliou MC, Laycock WS. Laparoscopic adrenalectomy for pheochromocytoma: take the vein last? *Surg Endosc* 2009;23:965-8.
21. Edwin B, Kazaryan AM, Mala T, Pfeffer PF, Tonnessen TI, Fosse E. Laparoscopic and open surgery for pheochromocytoma. *BMC Surg* 2001;1:2.
22. Tatsugami K, Eto M, Hamaguchi M, Yokomizo A, Harano M, Naito S. What affects the results of a laparoscopic adrenalectomy for pheochromocytoma? Evaluation with respect to intraoperative blood pressure and state of tumor. *J Endourol* 2009;23:101-5.

Authors Disclosure Statement:

Authors Tomislav Kulis, Nikola Knezevic, Marijeta Pekez, Darko Kastelan, Marija Grkovic and Zeljko Kastelan have no competing financial interests to disclose

Reprint requests should be directed to:

Tomislav Kulis

Department of urology

University hospital center Zagreb

Kispaticeva 12

10000 Zagreb

Croatia

Phone: +38512388504

Fax: +38512421855

email: tkulis@gmail.com

Table and Figure Legends:

Table 1 Demographics of patients

Table 2 Operative outcomes of laparoscopic adrenalectomy

Table 3 Postoperative pathologic diagnosis of 326 adrenal glands removed.

Figure 1 Computed tomography of large (11.4x10.6 cm) left sided adrenal tumor




Figure 2 Male patient after bilateral laparoscopic adrenalectomy for renal cancer metastasis in both adrenal glands. Chevron scar from previous open nephrectomy is clearly visible (operated in the other hospital). For the left sided adrenalectomy five trocar sites were used due to extensive adhesions. On the right side four trocars were used and for specimen retrieval one trocar entry point was extended.



Figure 3 Morbidly obese female patient after right sided laparoscopic adrenalectomy for glucocorticoid secreting adenoma (Cushing syndrome)

